IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

F 2

DILLARD L. BROOKS and WANITA M. BROOKS, individually and on behalf of a class of similarly situated Oklahoma insureds, Plaintiffs,	APR 1 9 2002 U.S. DIST. COURT, WESTERN DIST. OF CKLA DEPUTY DEPUTY
v.) CIV-01-783-R
STATE FARM FIRE AND CASUALTY COMPANY,	DOCKETED
Defendant.	,

<u>ORDER</u>

Defendant has filed a motion to strike class allegations on stare decisis grounds because the class allegations and claims herein duplicate those in *Bailey v. State Farm & Casualty Co.*, CIV-00-1239-R. Defendant's motion is DENIED. The Court has set deadlines for completion of discovery and for filing a motion for class certification. Plaintiffs are entitled to conduct discovery and decide whether they want to move for class certification. In the event Plaintiffs seek certification of a class action, Plaintiffs will have the burden of showing that all of the requirements of Rule 23(a), F.R.Civ.P., are met. e.g., *Integra Realty Resources, Inc. v. Fidelity Capital Appreciation Fund (In re Integra Realty Resources, Inc.)*, 262 F.3d 1089, 1112 (10th Cir. 2001), and that one of the three prerequisites to maintenance of a class action set forth in Rule 23(b), F.R.Civ.P., is satisfied. To sustain their burden, Plaintiffs herein may present evidence and argument not presented in *Bailey*.

Indeed, Plaintiffs' response to Defendant's motion to strike suggests that that will be the case. Accordingly, the Court cannot say that the Court's denial of class certification in *Bailey* dictates the outcome of a motion for class certification in this case, if such a motion is made.

Defendant's motion to strike class allegations is DENIED.

IT IS SO ORDERED this Abril, 2002.

DAVID L. RUŠSELĹ

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE